



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,391	11/09/2001	Sheng-Shing Li	PP/I-22278/PS/CGC 2069	2361
7590		10/19/2007		
Patent Department			EXAMINER	
Ciba Specialty Chemicals Corporation			CHOI, PETER Y	
540 White Plains Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 2005			1794	
Tarrytown, NY 10591-9005				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/045,391	Applicant(s) LI ET AL.
	Examiner Peter Y. Choi	Art Unit 1794

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,7-12,17-19, and 25.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____

/Peter Y. Choi/
Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/Andrew T Piziali/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that Mor and Patel are aimed at disparate arts and are not properly combined. However, as set forth in the Final Rejection of August 1, 2007, Mor teaches that fibers are the main constituents of fabrics and nonwovens (Mor, column 1 lines 40-42), Patel describes throughout the increased softness and smoothness of fabrics to which the composition of Patel has been applied (Patel, column 13 lines 45-55, column 16 lines 29-44). Therefore, Mor and Patel are in the same or similar arts and are properly combined. Additionally, see Examiner's remarks in the aforesaid Final Rejection, section 3. Moreover, Applicants have not set forth arguments or evidence as to why the the Mor and Patel references are not properly combinable or obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Applicants argue that one of ordinary skill in the art could not have arrived at the present invention from the combined disclosure of Mor and Patel. However, as set forth in the aforesaid Final Rejection, Patel teaches the use of UNILIN 425 and derivatives of UNILIN, and Petrolite Specialty Polymers Group teaches that a derivative of UNILIN 425 ethoxylate may contain 10-80% of ethylene oxide to form the ethoxylate alcohol consisting of 1, 2, or 4 monomers of ethoxy groups. Patel teaches that the ethoxy groups may comprise up to about 20 ethoxy groups per mole. A derivative of UNILIN 425 appears to anticipate the claimed chemical composition and Applicants have not submitted evidence that a derivative of UNILIN 425 would not anticipate the claimed chemical composition. Applicants argue that the present invention provides unexpected wettability results. However, Applicants are not claiming wettability results, nor are Applicants specifically claiming the known compound UNITHOX 420, for which Applicants argue that such wettability results and Liquid Absorption Capacity in combination with a polyolefin are believed attained.